212-988-9292 FLATRATE.COM

Foreign



Business

NEW YORK'S LEADING MOVING COMPANY



Advertise Contact Us Classifieds

Thu

Home



November 16, 2006 edition

ARTS+

Blogs Crosswords Photo Gallery

NE	Λ/	V	/
	w.	/ Y \	

National

Recent Editions - Fri. | Mon. | Tue. | Wed. |

New York

On The Town

Comment

Food & Drink

Diag

___del.icio.us

Sports

Style Travel

Search:

Print RSS XML

Related Topics

and Parks

Cultural Institutions

Health and Fitness

Nature Parks and Preserves

powered by Inform

Mental Health

Nature and the

Environment

Obituaries

Go

Much Barking, No Bites at Hearing on Legalizing Off-Leash

Editorial/Opinion

By CHRISTOPHER FAHERTY

Special to the Sun November 2, 2006

Among hoots, hollers, and applause, advocates on both sides of a proposal to formally allow dogs to roam free in city parks presented their cases at a public hearing yesterday held by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene.

If passed, the amendment to the city health code would allow for the continuation of a longstanding but unofficial New York City Department of Parks and Recreation policy that permits dog owners to unleash their pets in city parks between the hours of 9 p.m. and 9 a.m.

Advocates favoring the proposed amendment rallied with their pooches outside the Department of Mental Health and Hygiene's offices at 125 Worth St. before the hearing.

The proposal comes after a community organization in **Queens**, the **Juniper Park Civic Association**, brought a lawsuit against the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation in an attempt to squash the off-leash policy.

Inside the auditorium, emotions flared as advocates on both sides of the issue took the podium to testify.

"This proposal is absurd, and to implement it is criminal negligence," a member of the Juniper Park Civic Association, Robert Doocey, said. "The city wouldn't consider a proposal to allow fireworks in parks. Unleashing dogs is no different."

Mr. Mooney went further, saying "all dogs are potentially dangerous" and that proponents of the amendment are "elevating dogs as superior to humans." He left the podium to a round of applause.

Continued
1 | 2 | Next »

Reader comments on this article

TitleByDateMuch barking, no bites [33 words]ellen kapitNov 3, 2006 22:20↔ Woof, Woof Ellen [42 words]Gina MarieNov 6, 2006 15:20

Comment on this article

Name:	Email Address:		
	Email me if someone replies to my comment		
Title of Comments:			
Commonts			

ADVERTISEMENT

ADVERTISEMENT

Related Sun Articles

Fourth-, Eighth-Graders Fall Short on Science Scores Nov 16, 2006 27 Charged as Prosecutors Say

Huge Internet Gambling Ring Is
Broken
Nov 16, 2006

Nov 15, 2006 The Giuliani Jitters Nov 15, 2006

West Point on East Side

Council Members All Ears as Talk Turns to an Increase in Their Pay Nov 9, 2006

New York Sun Blogs



It Shines For All 'Life and Death Question'



Out & About Blog Scary and Brilliant

Online Extras



The Hevesi Ethics Scandal Read the Sun's full coverage of Hevesi's



Fall Education Guide Read the Sun's special features on urban campus design, the changing nature of academic journal publishing, student sex magazines, and more.



Nonprofits Not Shy About Cashing In on Real Estate Gems By MICHAEL STOLER "Christmas is arriving early for nonprofit organizations that are seizing the opportunity of



Use of this site signifies your agreement to the <u>Terms of Service</u>